THE JUDICIARY, STATE OF HAWAII NOTICE OF REQUEST FOR EXEMPTION FROM HRS CHAPTER 103D

ma	
.1.5 3	
I U	٠

Chief Procurement Officer

FROM:

Office of the Deputy Chief Court Administrator-First Circuit

I services, review cases lifes and analyze data. In addition, serve as the liaison to Department of Health and Human	Name of Requesting Division/Program				
Coordinate activities and monitor the Court Improvement Program(CIP) Basic, Training, and Data Grant. Coordinate implementation of the CIP plan. Prepare annual report for CIP. Research, compile and coordinate use of Compendium of services, review cases files and analyze data. In addition, serve as the liaison to Department of Health and Human Services and advisory committee. Demonstrate collarboration, and experience with at least 10 years with working with the Hawaii Department of Human Services and the Child Welfare community. 2. Vendor/Contractor/Service Provider: Faye Kimura 834 Kainoa Place Honolulu, Hi. 96821 4. Term of Contract From: July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2014 5. Prior Judiciary Procurement Exemption No. (if applicable): JAE 10-03 6. Explain in detail why it is not practicable or not advantageous for the Program/Division to procure by competitive means: Judiciary has an existing contract with Faye Kimura which she has served in this capacity for the past sixteen years and has expertise in this area. She is well aware of the Court Improvement Programs expectations as well as the Department of Human Services and the Federal Grant. In light of this, it would not be feasible to conduct another solicitation. Selecting another contractor will create an impracticable situation, as the contractor is not aware of the CIP expectations, Department of Human Services systems, and other Child Welfare service providers; therefore, we are requesting an exemption from the provision of 103D. 7. Explain in detail, the process that will be or was utilized in selecting the vendor/contractor/service provider. 8. Kimura is well established and highly regarded with the Court Improvement Programs (CIP), Family Court in all circuits, Department of Human Services and other Child Welfare service providers in the the community gives 100 of 100 o	Pursuant to HRS 6 1030 -102 (hVA) and HAR Chapter 2 120. The Audicine				
Implementation of the Cip Jan. Prepare annual report for Cip. Research, compile and coordinate use of Compendium of services, review cases files and analyze data. In addition, serve as the liaison to Department of Health and Human Services and advisory committee. Demonstrate collarboration, and experience with at least 10 years with working with the Hawaii Department of Human Services and the Child Welfare community. 2. Vendor/Contractor/Service Provider: Faye Kimura 834 Kainoa Place Honolulu, Hi. 96821 4. Term of Contract From: July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2014 5. Prior Judiciary Procurement Exemption No. (if applicable): JAE10-03 6. Explain in detail why it is not practicable or not advantageous for the Program/Division to procure by competitive means: Judiciary has an existing contract with Faye Kimura which she has served in this capacity for the past sixteen years and has expertise in this area. She is well aware of the Court Improvement Programs expectations as well as the Department of Human Services and the Federal Grant. In light of this, it would not be feasible to conduct another solicitation. Selecting another contractor will create an impracticable situation, as the contractor is not aware of the CIP expectations, Department of Human Services systems, and other Child Welfare service providers; therefore, we are requesting an exemption from the provision of 103D. 7. Explain in detail, the process that will be or was utilized in selecting the vendor/contractor/service provider: Ms. Kimura is well established and highly regarded with the Court Improvement Program(CIP). Family Fines 100c Min Allicians is peparation of Human Services and other Child Welfare service providers in the the community vines 100c Min Allicians is peparation for Human Services and other Child Welfare service providers in the the community vines 100c Min Allicians is peparation for Human Services and other Child Welfare service providers in the the community vines 100c Min Allicians is peparation for Human Services and othe	1. Describe the goods, services or construction:	equests a procurement exemption for the following:			
Faye Kimura 834 Kainoa Place Honolulu, Hi. 96821 4. Term of Contract From: July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2014 5. Prior Judiciary Procurement Exemption No. (if applicable): JAE10-03 6. Explain in detail why it is not practicable or not advantageous for the Program/Division to procure by competitive means: Judiciary has an existing contract with Faye Kimura which she has served in this capacity for the past sixteen years and has expertise in this area. She is well aware of the Court Improvement Programs expectations as well as the Department of Human Services and the Federal Grant. In light of this, it would not be feasible to conduct another solicitation. Selecting another contractor will create an impracticable situation, as the contractor is not aware of the CIP expectations, Department of Human Services systems, and other Child Welfare service providers; therefore, we are requesting an exemption from the provision of 103D. 7. Explain in detail, the process that will be or was utilized in selecting the vendor/contractor/service provider. Ms. Kimura is well established and highly regarded with the Court Improvement Program(CIP), Family Court in all circuits, Department of Human Services and other Child Welfare service providers in the the community since 1906 Me. Kimura is	Coordinate activities and monitor the Court Improvement Program(CIP) Basic, Training, and Data Grant. Coordinate implementation of the CIP plan. Prepare annual report for CIP. Research, compile and coordinate use of Compendium of services, review cases files and analyze data. In addition, serve as the liaison to Department of Health and Human Services and advisory committee. Demonstrate collarboration, and experience with at least 10 years with working with the				
834 Kainoa Place Honolulu, Hi. 96821 4. Term of Contract From: To: July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2014 5. Prior Judiciary Procurement Exemption No. (if applicable): JAE10-03 6. Explain in detail why it is not practicable or not advantageous for the Program/Division to procure by competitive means: Judiciary has an existing contract with Faye Kimura which she has served in this capacity for the past sixteen years and has expertise in this area. She is well aware of the Court Improvement Programs expectations as well as the Department of Human Services and the Federal Grant. In light of this, it would not be feasible to conduct another solicitation. Selecting another contractor will create an impracticable situation, as the contractor is not aware of the CIP expectations, Department of Human Services systems, and other Child Welfare service providers; therefore, we are requesting an exemption from the provision of 103D. 7. Explain in detail, the process that will be or was utilized in selecting the vendor/contractor/service provider. Ms. Kimura is well established and highly regarded with the Court Improvement Program(CIP). Family Court in all circuits, Department of Human Services and other Child Welfare service providers in the the community since 1006. Mo. Kimura is the community since 1006.	2. Vendor/Contractor/Service Provider:	3. Amount of Request:			
4. Term of Contract From: To: July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2014 6. Explain in detail why it is not practicable or not advantageous for the Program/Division to procure by competitive means: Judiciary has an existing contract with Faye Kimura which she has served in this capacity for the past sixteen years and has expertise in this area. She is well aware of the Court Improvement Programs expectations as well as the Department of Human Services and the Federal Grant. In light of this, it would not be feasible to conduct another solicitation. Selecting another contractor will create an impracticable situation, as the contractor is not aware of the CIP expectations, Department of Human Services systems, and other Child Welfare service providers; therefore, we are requesting an exemption from the provision of 103D. 7. Explain in detail, the process that will be or was utilized in selecting the vendor/contractor/service provider. Ms. Kimura is well established and highly regarded with the Court Improvement Program(CIP), Family Court in all circuits, Department of Human Services and other Child Welfare service providers in the the community since 1006. Me. Kimura is		-			
4. Term of Contract From: July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2014 6. Explain in detail why it is not practicable or not advantageous for the Program/Division to procure by competitive means: Judiciary has an existing contract with Faye Kimura which she has served in this capacity for the past sixteen years and has expertise in this area. She is well aware of the Court Improvement Programs expectations as well as the Department of Human Services and the Federal Grant. In light of this, it would not be feasible to conduct another solicitation. Selecting another contractor will create an impracticable situation, as the contractor is not aware of the CIP expectations, Department of Human Services systems, and other Child Welfare service providers; therefore, we are requesting an exemption from the provision of 103D. 7. Explain in detail, the process that will be or was utilized in selecting the vendor/contractor/service provider. Ms. Kimura is well established and highly regarded with the Court Improvement Program(CIP), Family Court in all circuits, Department of Human Services and other Child Welfare service providers in the the comprisite since 1906, Ma. Kimura is the comprisite since 1906, Ma. Kimura in the the comprisite since 1906, Ma. Kimura is the comprisite since 1906, Ma. Kimura is the comprisite since 1906, Ma. Kimura in the the comprisite since 1906, Ma. Kimura is the comprisite since 1906, Ma. Kimura in t					
July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2014 (if applicable): JAE10-03 6. Explain in detail why it is not practicable or not advantageous for the Program/Division to procure by competitive means: Judiciary has an existing contract with Faye Kimura which she has served in this capacity for the past sixteen years and has expertise in this area. She is well aware of the Court Improvement Programs expectations as well as the Department of Human Services and the Federal Grant. In light of this, it would not be feasible to conduct another solicitation. Selecting another contractor will create an impracticable situation, as the contractor is not aware of the CIP expectations, Department of Human Services systems, and other Child Welfare service providers; therefore, we are requesting an exemption from the provision of 103D. 7. Explain in detail, the process that will be or was utilized in selecting the vendor/contractor/service provider. Ms. Kimura is well established and highly regarded with the Court Improvement Program(CIP), Family Court in all circuits, Department of Human Services and other Child Welfare service providers in the the community since 1006, Ma. Kimura is					
JAE10-03 6. Explain in detail why it is not practicable or not advantageous for the Program/Division to procure by competitive means: Judiciary has an existing contract with Faye Kimura which she has served in this capacity for the past sixteen years and has expertise in this area. She is well aware of the Court Improvement Programs expectations as well as the Department of Human Services and the Federal Grant. In light of this, it would not be feasible to conduct another solicitation. Selecting another contractor will create an impracticable situation, as the contractor is not aware of the CIP expectations, Department of Human Services systems, and other Child Welfare service providers; therefore, we are requesting an exemption from the provision of 103D. 7. Explain in detail, the process that will be or was utilized in selecting the vendor/contractor/service provider. Ms. Kimura is well established and highly regarded with the Court Improvement Program(CIP), Family Court in all circuits, Department of Human Services and other Child Welfare service providers in the the community since 1906. Me Kimura is	4. Term of Contract From: To:	5. Prior Judiciary Procurement Exemption No.			
6. Explain in detail why it is not practicable or not advantageous for the Program/Division to procure by competitive means: Judiciary has an existing contract with Faye Kimura which she has served in this capacity for the past sixteen years and has expertise in this area. She is well aware of the Court Improvement Programs expectations as well as the Department of Human Services and the Federal Grant. In light of this, it would not be feasible to conduct another solicitation. Selecting another contractor will create an impracticable situation, as the contractor is not aware of the CIP expectations, Department of Human Services systems, and other Child Welfare service providers; therefore, we are requesting an exemption from the provision of 103D. 7. Explain in detail, the process that will be or was utilized in selecting the vendor/contractor/service provider. Ms. Kimura is well established and highly regarded with the Court Improvement Program(CIP), Family Court in all circuits, Department of Human Services and other Child Welfare service providers in the the computity since 1006. Mo. Kimura is	July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2014	(if applicable):			
Judiciary has an existing contract with Faye Kimura which she has served in this capacity for the past sixteen years and has expertise in this area. She is well aware of the Court Improvement Programs expectations as well as the Department of Human Services and the Federal Grant. In light of this, it would not be feasible to conduct another solicitation. Selecting another contractor will create an impracticable situation, as the contractor is not aware of the CIP expectations, Department of Human Services systems, and other Child Welfare service providers; therefore, we are requesting an exemption from the provision of 103D. 7. Explain in detail, the process that will be or was utilized in selecting the vendor/contractor/service providers. Ms. Kimura is well established and highly regarded with the Court Improvement Program(CIP), Family Court in all circuits, Department of Human Services and other Child Welfare service providers in the the community since 1906. Ms. Kimura is					
Judiciary has an existing contract with Faye Kimura which she has served in this capacity for the past sixteen years and has expertise in this area. She is well aware of the Court Improvement Programs expectations as well as the Department of Human Services and the Federal Grant. In light of this, it would not be feasible to conduct another solicitation. Selecting another contractor will create an impracticable situation, as the contractor is not aware of the CIP expectations, Department of Human Services systems, and other Child Welfare service providers; therefore, we are requesting an exemption from the provision of 103D. 7. Explain in detail, the process that will be or was utilized in selecting the vendor/contractor/service provider: Ms. Kimura is well established and highly regarded with the Court Improvement Program(CIP), Family Court in all circuits, Department of Human Services and other Child Welfare service providers in the the community since 1906. May Kimura is	6. Explain in detail why it is not practicable or not advantageous for the F	Program/Division to procure by competitive means:			
Ms. Kimura is well established and highly regarded with the Court Improvement Program(CIP), Family Court in all circuits, Department of Human Services and other Child Welfare service providers in the the community since 1906. Mo. Kimura is	Selecting another contractor will create an impracticable situation, as the Department of Human Services systems, and other Child Welfare services exemption from the provision of 103D.	be feasible to conduct another solicitation. ne contractor is not aware of the CIP expectations, ice providers; therefore, we are requesting an			
Department of riuman Services and other Child Welfare service providers in the the community since 1996. May Kimura is	7. Explain in detail, the process that will be or was utilized in selecting the	vendor/contractor/service provider:			
	Department of numan Services and other Child Welfare service provide	ers in the the community since 1006. No. Kimura in			

CP-3 (Apr 2013)

Page 1

Exemption No: ___JAE13-11

brochiement authority and co	sible staff person(s) conducting and r mpletion of mandatory training requ sk after name of person to contact for	red).		
Name	Division/Program	Phone Number	email address	
Gordean Akiona	Deputy of the Chief Ct. Adm. Off.	954-9881	Gordean.L.Akiona@courts.hawaii.gov	

		A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O		
All requirements/approvals and internal controls for this expenditure is the responsibility of the Division/Program. I certify that the information provided above is, to the best of my knowledge, true and correct.				
Jane o	on/Program Head Signature		5/8/13	
`Department/Divisi	on/Program Head Signature		Date	
For Chief Procurement Officer Use Only				
		ľ	Date Notice Posted: 5/13/2013	
Chief Procure Financial Ser Contracts & F 1111 Alakea Honolulu, Ha	all be directed to the contact named in thin seven (7) calendar days, or as other cases of the seven (7) calendar days, or as other cases of the seven (7) calendar days, or as other cases of the seven (8) cases of the seven (9) c	i Item 8. Submit v erwise allowed, f	vritten objection to this NOTICE OF rom the Date Notice Posted to:	
Chief Procurement Officer (CPO) Comments:			
Notice of A	mended Exemption posted;	No objection	s Filed.	
Recommend a	pproval of exemption.			
Approved	Disapproved	No Action	Required	
	Jodne /	muil	MAY 28 2013	
	Chief Procurement	Officer Signature	Date	

CP-3 (Apr 2013)

Page 2

Exemption No: _____JAE 13-11